Regulation of Corporate Governance

<u>February 6, 2016</u>

SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN

Partner, JSA

CONTEXT OF REGULATION

- Governance deficit is a society-wide phenomenon
- Indian political economy entails legislating virtue
- Legislative disincentives are thought as solutions
- Best practices are made statutory requirements
- Emphasis on criminalizing undesirable conduct
- State capacity constraints not always factored in
- Regulatory race leading to differential criteria
- Every scam leads to clamour for greater powers
- Generic powers to inflict serious injury conferred
- Writs always by someone facing an uphill task

BOARD COMPOSITION

- Primary regulation of governance is with board composition
 - distinction between listed and unlisted companies
 - securities regulations run in parallel
- Board composition for listed companies intensely regulated
 - one-third to be "independent directors"
 - Section 149 defines term with stringent criteria
 - 5-year term; 2 successive terms; 3-year cool-off
 - annual declaration of independent status
- At least one resident Indian director new requirement
- Social justice interventions too are mixed up
 - woman director; small shareholder director
- At least two-thirds to retire by rotation
- Contest of election to directorship permitted

COMPANY LAW PROVISIONS

- Not more than twenty directorships allowed
 - public company directorships not to exceed ten
- Section 166 has motherhood objectives
 - promote benefits of members as a whole
 - interests of company, employees, shareholders, community and environment protection
- Mandatory committees for listed companies
 - overlap with securities regulatory requirements
- Regulation of related party contracts
 - shareholder approval if outside ordinary course; or
 - if not on arms-length terms
 - Audit Committee to approve

SECURITIES REGULATIONS

- Listing agreement had governance conditions
 - now elevated to Listing Regulations
- Composition norms more detailed and granular
 - at least one-half to be non-executive
 - one-third or one half to be independent
- Special sub-committees are mandatory
 - Audit Committee financially literate
 - minimum 3 members; 2/3rd, and chairman, independent
 - Nomination and Remuneration Committee
 - all non-executive; 3 members; all non-exec; 50% independent
 - Risk Management Committee
 - may comprise non-directors chair to be a director

SUBSIDIARIES OF LISTED COS.

- Governance of subsidiaries of listed companies regulated too
 - at least one independent director from listed company board to sit on material subsidiary board
 - minutes of unlisted subsidiaries to be tabled with the board of the listed company
 - statement of "significant transactions and arrangements" to be given to listed company board
 - more than 10% of the revenues, expenses, assets or liabilities
- Listed Co. shareholder approval needed for:-
 - disposal of assets of above 20% of subsidiary
 - disposal of subsidiary shares to de-subsidiarize it

OTHER SECURITIES REGULATIONS

- Board of Directors have special obligations
 - to make recommendation on open offer terms
 - not take material decisions once offer is made
 - to ensure subsidiaries comply
- Recusal from discussions / preparations
 - at the target's board if linked to acquirer
 - at the acquirer's board if linked to target
- Listed boards to apply their mind in designating insiders for compliance coverage
- Listed boards to frame specific policies
 - essentially, no delegation is permitted on issues

OTHER REGULATORS

- Sectoral regulators add to corporate governance obligations
 - Insurance regulator has its guidelines on directorships and tenure caps
 - *RBI often requires board attention to specific issues of policy*
 - Ministry of Finance Circulars specify what boards of nationalised banks should discuss
 - Nayak Committee Report found that they only discussed those
- Increased resort to subordinate legislation enables prescription of even more requirements for boards in various sectors
- Company law already provides for endorsement in Directors' Responsibility Statement
 - that proper systems were devised
 - to comply with all applicable laws
 - such systems were adequate and operating effectively
- A sectoral violation could become a company law violation

CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES

- Company law is through Act of Parliament
- Listing Regulations under SCRA and SEBI Act
 - two statutes administered by the same regulatory body
- So, same facts could lead to triple-tracked action
 - action under Listing Regulations alone could lead to two parallel enforcement processes being invoked
- Example of Prevention of Money Laundering Act
 - SEBI and RBI are only authors of circulars under PMLA
 - SEBI invoked Section 11 in its circular
 - punishment under SEBI Act on grounds of market "hygiene"
 - Securities Appellate Tribunal has upheld the action
 - penalty too tiny for actionee to challenge question of law
- Future litigation will occur around constitutional challenge to scale, scope and multiplicity of powers for the same actions
- Non-criminal proceedings are presumed to be light-touch in India

